International Journal of Leading Research Publication (IJLRP)

E-ISSN: 2582-8010 ● Website: www.ijlrp.com ● Email: editor@ijlrp.com

Impact of Chinese Economy on International Financial Markets

S. Meral Cakici

Professor of Economics
Faculty of Economics and Administrative Sciences, Piri Reis University

Abstract:
This study provides an extensive discussion of the impact of the Chinese economy on international financial markets, focusing on the financial liberalization process of China while presenting the current economic outlook as well as the global economic impact of China. As the world's second-largest economy by market exchange rates and the largest by purchasing power parity, the global influence of the Chinese economy is monumental. Rapid transformation of the Chinese economy in recent decades has shaped global supply chain mechanisms, international trade networks and commodity markets while China has become a dominant engine of global growth. Understanding the role of the Chinese economy in international financial markets is crucial in order to be able to evaluate the dynamics of the global financial system.

Keywords: Chinese Economy, Financial Liberalization, International Financial Markets

Introduction

Serving as the world's second-largest economy by market exchange rates and the largest by purchasing power parity, the global impact of the Chinese economy is enormous. Over the past four decades, China's rapid transformation into the "factory of the world" has re-engineered global supply chains, international trade networks and commodity markets. As a dominant engine of global growth, fluctuations within China's domestic economy directly dictate global market stability, corporate profits and the economic trajectories of both developed and developing nations.

According to data tracked by institutions including the World Bank Group and the International Monetary Fund (IMF), China's footprint spans several critical global sectors. China is the world's largest trading nation and leading goods exporter. Its manufacturing output exceeds that of the next nine largest manufacturing nations combined, making it indispensable to global consumer markets. Moving beyond low-cost manufacturing, China has transitioned into a high-tech and green energy hub. The country leads global shipments in advanced robotics and dominates the green transition, driving global supply chains for electric vehicles (EVs), advanced batteries and solar power. Through massive state-backed initiatives, China has become a primary source of capital and infrastructure development across Africa, Latin America and South America. As China shifts from a growth model driven heavily by heavy industry and infrastructure toward one focused on advanced technology and experience-based consumption, its economic health remains central to the global financial landscape.

The systemic transformation of the Chinese economy over the past four decades stands as one of the most consequential developments in modern economic history. Since embarking on market-oriented reforms in

1978 and securing accession to the World Trade Organization (WTO) in 2001, China has evolved from an agrarian, isolated market into the world's leading trading nation. By functioning as the primary locomotive of global economic growth, contributing roughly one-third of global GDP expansion in recent decades, China's domestic macroeconomic trajectory now directly dictates international commodity pricing, financial market sentiments and transnational supply chain configurations. Consequently, understanding the multidimensional spillover effects of China's structural shifts has become a central paradigm within international political economy and macroeconomics.

This study provides an extensive discussion of the impact of the Chinese economy on international financial markets, focusing on the financial liberalization process of China while presenting the current economic outlook as well as the global economic impact of China.

Literature Review

The academic literature on China's financial liberalization focuses on the unique mechanisms, sequencing and structural friction points of its financial reforms. Unlike Western-style transitions characterized by sudden structural shifts, China's financial liberalization is widely analyzed through the lens of gradualism, dual-track systems and state-guided marketization, as pointed out by Hu and Wang (2022). It is emphasized that China's financial reforms are designed to introduce market elements while preserving state control over systemic stability. The literature including He et al. (2012) highlights a deliberate sequence where liberalizing trade-related transactions (current account) takes place first, followed by domestic interest rates and credit markets, while keeping the capital account selectively managed. Rather than complete capital account openness, academics such as Zhang and Zhang (2024) study managed conduits such as the Qualified Foreign Institutional Investor (QFII) scheme, RQFII, Stock Connect, and Bond Connect. These mechanisms permit cross-border portfolio investment while maintaining capital controls to prevent volatile capital flight.

Because China's financial structure is heavily bank-centric, a major area of research explores the unintended consequences of partial interest rate and credit market liberalization. Literature examining aggregate liquidity including He and Wei (2022) shows that historical interest rate caps and credit quotas encouraged a massive shadow banking sector. The authors also note a distinct feature of Chinese shadow banking: unlike Western shadow banking, Chinese shadow credit is largely an off-balance-sheet extension of state-owned commercial banks driven by regulatory arbitrage. Key takeaways from empirical literature are decoupled integration, asymmetric openness and state capitalist constraints. China successfully expanded its share of global bond and stock indices without removing cross-border capital restrictions. Inward foreign direct investment (FDI) and institutional portfolio access were liberalized far more rapidly than outward private portfolio flows. Further liberalization is routinely bounded by the central government's priority on financial security, avoiding systemic financial crises and maintaining state influence over strategic credit allocation.

The literature on China's financial integration analyzes how the world's second-largest economy connects with global monetary systems, debt and equity markets and international banking networks. Zhang (2023) highlights that China exhibits a pronounced asymmetry between trade integration and financial integration. While China is deeply integrated into global manufacturing and trade networks, its cross-border financial integration remains in its infancy relative to its economic scale. The author also points out that China's foreign asset and liability positions relative to GDP rank well below advanced economies and peer emerging markets, largely driven by restricted private-sector cross-border capital participation.

The study by van der Linden and Lasak (2023) shows that China relies on targeted structural channels to facilitate global market exposure rather than opening the capital account wholesale. The authors claim that liberalizing these selective channels paved the way for onshore Chinese bonds and equity markets to be added to major global benchmarks, driving institutional inflows while preserving regulatory oversight.

As China's markets have started to open, academic focus has shifted to measuring how Chinese asset price movements affect international conditions. Lodge et al. (2024) show that while spillovers from Chinese equity markets remain smaller than those originating from the US or broad global risk sentiment, domestic financial shocks in China significantly impact global commodity prices. The authors also point out that spillovers from Chinese financial markets are magnified during periods of elevated global market volatility or large systemic policy shifts.

Lin (1992) provides an analysis of rural reforms and agricultural growth in China. The author investigates the impact of the Household Responsibility System on agricultural productivity during early post-1978 reforms. Song et. al (2011) introduce a theoretical model explaining high economic growth driven by high savings and reallocation of capital from less productive State-Owned Enterprises (SOEs) to high-productivity private firms despite credit market imperfections. Lee (2017) offers empirical growth accounting on how capital accumulation and structural shifts drove convergence toward high-income levels, alongside early indicators of structural slowdown. Oi (1992) establishes the framework of "Local State Corporatism," demonstrating how tax sharing and fiscal decentralization motivated local government officials to act like corporate directors in fostering local enterprises. Xu (2011) conceptualizes "Regionally Decentralized Authoritarianism" (RDA), explaining how centralized political control combined with decentralized subnational economic administration created tournament-like growth competition among local officials. Allen et. al (2005) examine the "China Finance Puzzle", namely, how China achieved rapid economic growth despite formal legal and financial institutions that lagged behind standard international benchmarks. Medeiros and Gouveia (2024) analyze the structural slowdown post-2008, real estate dependency, debt accumulation via Local Government Financing Vehicles (LGFVs) and the macroeconomic transition toward domestic consumption rebalancing.

Among studies that examine how China's growth, trade integration, supply chain centrality and financial mechanisms impact the global economy is Autor et. al (2013), which demonstrates how the rapid growth of Chinese manufacturing exports following WTO accession caused localized labor market dislocations and depressed wages in U.S. manufacturing regions. In another study, Autor et. al (2016) summarize the broader global trade literature on how reallocation friction prevented rapid labor market recovery in advanced economies after exposure to competitive Chinese exports. Koopman et. al (2014) develop a framework for measuring global value chains (GVCs), detailing how Chinese manufacturing evolved from basic low-cost assembly to producing high value-added intermediate goods used in international production networks. Amiti and Freund (2010) examine how China transformed its export basket from light industrial consumer goods toward complex capital goods and machinery, impacting global industrial competition. Caballero et. al (2008) analyze how high Chinese national savings, driven by domestic financial market limitations, generated capital outflows into Western asset markets, lowering global long-term interest rates. Cashin et. al (2017) quantify macro-financial spillovers, showing how fluctuations in Chinese domestic demand alter global commodity prices, equity markets, and growth trajectories in emerging market economies. Finally, Horn et. al (2021) provide empirical mapping of China's global credit expansion through the Belt and Road Initiative (BRI), highlighting its role as the world's largest bilateral creditor to developing nations and the debt restructuring implications for emerging markets.

Financial Liberalization of China

Financial liberalization process of China has followed a distinct path characterized by gradualism, experimentation and controlled sequencing. Unlike Western "shock therapy" transitions, Beijing has systematically separated domestic financial reform from full cross-border capital mobility to maintain macro-financial stability while steadily expanding market mechanisms. The key pillars of reform are interest rate deregulation, exchange rate flexibility, capital account liberalization as well as market access and integration.

Historically, the People's Bank of China (PBOC) set rigid ceilings on deposit rates and floors on lending rates, guaranteeing net interest margins for state-owned commercial banks. Lending rate floors were formally removed in 2013 and deposit rate caps were relaxed. The launch of the *Shanghai Interbank Offered Rate (SHIBOR)* in 2007 and the *Loan Prime Rate (LPR)* reform in 2019 shifted the system toward market-driven benchmark rates. In 2005, China unpegged the Renminbi (RMB) from the US dollar, moving to a managed floating exchange rate regime linked to a basket of currencies. The trading band around the central parity rate was progressively widened. The inclusion of the Renminbi in the IMF's Special Drawing Rights (SDR) basket in 2016 signaled global acceptance. Cross-border RMB trade settlement and offshore RMB hubs were established to foster usage without fully opening the capital account.

Rather than removing capital controls wholesale, China pioneered controlled cross-border channels. China introduced QFII (2002) and RQFII (2011) to allow qualified foreign institutional investors quota-managed access to onshore equity and bond markets. *Stock Connect* (Shanghai/Shenzhen–Hong Kong) and *Bond Connect* were launched in order to enable direct trading between domestic and international markets without requiring full capital account openness. Liberalization facilitated the inclusion of Chinese onshore A-shares and government bonds in major global indices such as MSCI, Bloomberg Barclays Global Aggregate and FTSE Russell. Limits on foreign ownership in commercial banks, securities firms, asset management and life insurance companies were progressively eliminated. As a result, major international financial institutions now operate wholly owned subsidiaries in mainland China.

China's Global Economic Impact

As the world's second-largest economy by nominal GDP and the largest by Purchasing Power Parity (PPP), China's economic trajectory directly influences global trade, industrial supply chains, commodity pricing and geopolitical economic policies.

The impact of the Chinese economy on the global stage is defined by six key structural drivers: global manufacturing hub and supply chains, high-tech competition and clean energy supremacy, global trade balance and domestic imbalances, energy and commodity demand, international finance and institutional footprint, spillover effects on global growth. China accounts for approximately 32% of global manufacturing value-added, which is more than the combined output of the United States, Japan, Germany and South Korea. Beyond low-cost assembly, China manufactures critical intermediate components across electronics, machinery, pharmaceuticals and industrial machinery. Disruptions or shifts in Chinese factory output rapidly echo across global value chains. Geopolitical friction and tariff policies have driven multinationals toward "China+1" strategies (expanding operations into Vietnam, India and Mexico). However, complete decoupling remains rare due to China's dense domestic supplier networks and advanced port infrastructure.

More precisely, the "China+1" strategy is a corporate supply chain framework where multinational companies retain their main manufacturing base in China while establishing complementary operations in at least one other country. Rather than a total exit from China, the goal is risk mitigation in the form of hedging against geopolitical friction, punitive tariffs, regulatory changes, supply chain bottlenecks and rising domestic labor costs while continuing to leverage China's mature industrial ecosystem. Securing secondary hubs outside China allows firms to bypass unilateral tariffs and comply with stricter country-of-origin policies imposed by Western markets. Multi-sourcing ensures operational continuity during trade disputes, natural disasters or regional lockdowns. While Chinese manufacturing offers deep supplier integration, rising domestic labor costs drive labor-intensive assembly toward lower-cost regions in Southeast and South Asia. The primary beneficiaries fall into regional manufacturing ecosystems across Southeast Asia, North America, South Asia and Eastern Europe. More specifically, Vietnam, Mexico, India, Thailand, Malaysia and Indonesia are the countries that benefit the most from the "China+1" policy. Vietnam's proximity to southern China's component suppliers makes it the most natural extension for light manufacturing and final assembly. Extensive free trade agreements (including EVFTA and CPTPP) and competitive labor costs make it a primary destination. Positioned as the premier nearshoring node, Mexico directly targets the North American market under the USMCA trade agreement. It allows companies to serve U.S. demand with zero-tariff access and shortened transit times. Interestingly, Chinese manufacturers themselves invest heavily in Mexican industrial parks to maintain access to North American consumers. Backed by state initiative programs like *Production Linked Incentives*, India provides a massive domestic consumer market alongside a large low-cost labor pool. It serves as a secondary manufacturing destination for high-volume hardware assembly. Thailand has leveraged its legacy as the "Detroit of Asia" to capture new investment in electric vehicle assembly and auto electronics. Malaysia acts as a core hub for semiconductor testing, assembly, and mid-tier tech manufacturing due to its established infrastructure in Penang. Last but not least, Indonesia leverages its vast critical mineral deposits, particularly nickel, to position itself as an indispensable node for global electric vehicle supply chains.

China leads global production in key green technologies, including solar panels, lithium-ion batteries and EVs. Backed by substantial state incentives and technological automation, Chinese firms produce high-spec advanced goods (e.g., green transport, robotics) at highly competitive prices. While this accelerates the global energy transition, it has triggered Western pushback, including EU tariffs on Chinese EVs and expanded U.S. technology export controls. The Chinese economic model historically prioritizes industrial production over domestic household consumption. Slowing domestic demand compounded by real estate sector cooling leads to significant trade surpluses as domestic factory output is exported globally. Broad export surges in overcapacity sectors deliver cheaper consumer and capital goods worldwide, helping curb inflation in recipient markets while simultaneously squeezing profit margins for foreign manufacturers.

China is the primary global buyer of key commodities, including crude oil, iron ore, copper, coal and agricultural products. Economic shifts in China directly impact exporter economies. Sluggish Chinese construction or industrial cycles depress commodity prices, directly affecting resource-dependent emerging markets in Latin America, Sub-Saharan Africa and Australia. Through the *Belt and Road Initiative (BRI),* China has financed over $1 trillion in overseas infrastructure, emerging as a major creditor across global developing economies. Beijing supports alternative multilateral mechanisms including the New Development Bank (NDB) and the Asian Infrastructure Investment Bank (AIIB). China continues to expand RMB-denominated trade settlement, currency swap lines, and cross-border payment systems

(CIPS) to diversify away from total U.S. dollar reliance. For decades, China contributed roughly 30% of annual global economic growth. As China transitions toward "high-quality growth" and faces demographic shifts, a 1 percentage point deceleration in Chinese GDP growth generally reduces overall global economic growth by roughly 0.3 to 0.5 percentage points, with the strongest spillovers felt among regional trading partners in Asia.

Current Economic Outlook of China

China's economic outlook is characterized by structural transformation in the sense that high-tech industrial strength and robust export execution are powering GDP growth on one side, while persistently weak domestic demand and a prolonged real estate correction drag on consumer confidence on the other. Mainstream international forecasters (IMF, OECD, World Bank) project real GDP growth to moderate from 5.0% in 2025 to 4.4%–4.6% in 2026, closely tracking Beijing's official full-year growth target range. Policy-driven direct investment continues to pour into high-value manufacturing; most notably EVs, lithium batteries, solar technology, telecommunications and robotics. High-tech exports serve as the primary engine keeping GDP growth afloat. Private household consumption remains subdued, staying under 40% of overall GDP (significantly below global averages). Depressed property valuations, slow wage growth and precautionary savings continue to weigh heavily on consumer spending.

The ongoing deleveraging and restructuring across real estate developers continue to dampen local government land sales revenue and depress household wealth perceptions. Total debt across corporate, household and public sectors exceeds 300% of GDP. Local Government Financing Vehicles (LGFVs) face elevated refinancing demands, constraining regional fiscal stimulus capacity. A declining and aging workforce, combined with structural imbalances in youth employment and expansion in gig-economy roles, presents long-term headwinds to productivity growth. Industrial capacity expansion has triggered global pushback over foreign market saturation, increasing tariff pressures and trade barriers across key export markets in North America and Europe. Trade corridors continue shifting toward ASEAN member states, Latin America and Middle Eastern partners to offset Western trade frictions.

Beijing's policy strategy focuses on accepting lower top-line GDP expansion in exchange for higher-quality, tech-led growth ("new productive forces"). While high-end industrial competitiveness keeps China's export machine resilient, long-term stability depends on whether structural reforms can effectively stimulate domestic household consumption and stabilize the property market.

China’s high-tech industrial policy and export strategy represent a deliberate pivot to transform the country into an advanced manufacturing superpower. Driven by Beijing's core concept of "new quality productive forces" and central coordination under the 15th Five-Year Plan framework, Beijing uses state-directed capital to dominate frontier technologies and offset domestic real estate weakness through foreign trade. China's high-tech industrial strategy trades short-term consumer consumption for long-term industrial dominance. By pairing low capital costs with state orchestration, Beijing has secured global market share across green technologies and high-end machinery. However, sustaining this strategy requires navigating rising global tariff barriers and structural overcapacity at home.

As far as policymakers are concerned, the People's Bank of China (PBoC) and fiscal authorities maintain a targeted stimulus approach that consists of targeted credit over massive rate cuts, trade diversification and fiscal balance. Central bank liquidity is intentionally channeled into strategic technology, green energy and infrastructure projects rather than general consumer stimulus. In order to mitigate Western import restrictions and tariffs, trade channels are systematically shifting toward ASEAN, Latin America and

Middle Eastern corridors. Beijing balances the need for growth stabilization against high local government financing vehicle (LGFV) debt, prioritizing debt swaps and fiscal reform overlarge unfunded spending.
PBoC operates under an "appropriately loose" (moderately accommodative) monetary policy stance. Rather than deploying sweeping, blanket interest rate cuts, China's central bank uses a targeted framework designed to inject liquidity into priority sectors such as high-tech manufacturing, green tech and services while protecting commercial bank profit margins and stabilizing the Renminbi (RMB). China's monetary stance remains supportive yet cautious, focusing on precision liquidity overaggressive rate cuts. As long as domestic demand stays soft and real estate restructuring continues, the PBoC will likely rely on further targeted RRR cuts and sector-specific relending channels to keep overall money supply in line with economic goals.
China operates under a "more proactive" fiscal policy framework. Facing ongoing real estate restructuring and soft domestic consumption, Beijing has shifted away from relying primarily on local government land sales toward central government leverage, expanded public debt issuance and targeted spending. Rather than broad "helicopter money," China's fiscal strategy uses structural bond allocations to support industrial policy, resolve local government hidden debt and selectively subsidize consumer demand. China's fiscal policy acts as the primary shock absorber for the economy. By utilizing central government bond issuances and debt-swap programs, Beijing is stabilizing local government finances while systematically redirecting capital into state-prioritized tech sectors and targeted consumer support.

Conclusion

As the world's second-largest economy, China acts as a primary driver of the global financial cycle. Structural changes in the form of a persistent domestic property slump, weak consumer demand and a heavy reliance on high-tech manufacturing exports such as EVs and AI hardware profoundly dictate global asset prices, currency values and investment flows. With the IMF projecting China's GDP growth to cool down to 4.5%, the shifting dynamics of its economy impact international financial markets through four primary channels. The first channel is through global equity markets and investor sentiment. China accounts for a significant portion of global equity indexes. Slower domestic growth drags down broader emerging market funds, pushing international investors to reallocate capital toward markets like India, Taiwan and Brazil. Macroeconomic surprises or policy shifts in Beijing trigger immediate ripple effects in global stock returns. International corporations heavily reliant on Chinese consumers or supply chains see direct impacts on their valuations. The second channel functions through commodity markets and disinflationary pressures. Weak domestic demand has forced Chinese industrial sectors to export excess capacity onto the global stage. This "China Shock 2.0" acts as a disinflationary force, lowering global goods prices but threatening the profit margins of industrial competitors in Europe and North America. Structural contraction in China's real estate sector fundamentally dampens global demand and consequently pricing for industrial metals and raw materials. The third channel works through currency volatility and forex reserve dynamics. China's trade relationships dictate the strength of major currencies. Economic fluctuations intimately impact the foreign exchange rates of major exporters to China, including Australia, South Korea and Japan. Holding over $3 trillion in foreign exchange reserves, China's capacity to purchase or hold sovereign debt such as US Treasuries heavily influences global long-term yields and international borrowing costs. Finally, the fourth channel through which Chinese economy impacts international financial markets is cross-border capital flows and financial statecraft. More precisely, in order to combat low domestic yields and a weakening property market, Chinese institutional investors are

increasingly looking outward, shifting capital into foreign tech and developed-market assets to achieve diversification. Despite tighter internal capital controls, China remains one of the largest global creditors. Its financial statecraft projects immense liquidity through bilateral lending and infrastructure financing across developing nations.

REFERENCES:

1. Allen, F., Qian, J. and Qian, M. (2005). Law, finance, and economic growth in China. *Journal of Financial Economics*, *77*(1), 57–116. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jfineco.2004.06.010
2. Amiti, M. and Freund, C. (2010). The anatomy of China's export growth. *The World Bank Economic Review*, *24*(1), 33–56. https://doi.org/10.1093/wber/lhp019
3. Autor, D. H., Dorn, D. and Hanson, G. H. (2013). The China syndrome: Local labor market effects of import competition in the United States. *American Economic Review*, *103*(6), 2121–2168.https://doi.org/10.1257/aer.103.6.2121
4. Autor, D. H., Dorn, D. and Hanson, G. H. (2016). The China shock: Learning from labor-market adjustment to large-scale trade. *Annual Review of Economics*, *8*(1), 205–240.https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-economics-080315-015041
5. Caballero, R. J., Farhi, E. and Gourinchas, P. O. (2008). An equilibrium model of "global imbalances" and low interest rates. *American Economic Review*, *98*(1), 358–393.https://doi.org/10.1257/aer.98.1.358
6. Cashin, P., Mohaddes, K. and Raissi, M. (2017). China's slowdown and global financial markets: AGVAR approach. *Journal of Banking & Finance*, *81*, 242–257.https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbankfin.2016.03.007
7. He, Z. and Wei, W. (2022). China's Financial System and Economy: A Review. *SSRN Electronic Journal*. https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.4187152
8. He, D., Cheung, L., Zhang, W. and Wu, T. (2012). How would Capital Account Liberalization Affect China's Capital Flows and the Renminbi Real Exchange Rates? *China & World Economy*, 20(6), 29–54. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1749-124x.2012.12001.x
9. Horn, S., Reinhart, C. M. and Trebesch, C. (2021). China's overseas lending. *Journal of International Economics*, *133*, 103529. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jinteco.2021.103529
10. Hu, G. X. and Wang, J. (2022). A Review of China's Financial Markets. *Annual Review of Financial Economics*, 14(1),465–507. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-financial-111620-010537
11. Koopman, R., Wang, Z. and Wei, S. J. (2014). Tracing value-added and double counting in gross exports. *American Economic Review*, *104*(2), 459–494. https://doi.org/10.1257/aer.104.2.459
12. Lee, J. W. (2017). China's economic growth and convergence. *The World Economy*, *40*(11), 2455–2474. https://doi.org/10.1111/twec.12554
13. Lin, J. Y. (1992). Rural reforms and agricultural growth in China. *American Economic Review*,*82*(1), 34–51.
14. Lodge, D., Manu, A.-S. and Van Robays, I. (2024). China’s Footprint in Global Financial Markets. *SSRN Electronic Journal*. https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.4752782
15. Medeiros, C. A. d. and Gouveia, E. M. (2024). The “new normal” of the Chinese economy. *Economia e Sociedade*, *33*(3). https://doi.org/10.1590/1982-3533.2024v33n3.282794
16. Oi, J. C. (1992). Fiscal reform and the economic foundations of local state corporatism in China.*World Politics*, *45*(1), 99–126. https://doi.org/10.2307/2010520

17. Song, Z., Storesletten, K. and Zilibotti, F. (2011). Growing like China. *American Economic Review*,*101*(1), 196–233. https://doi.org/10.1257/aer.101.1.196
18. van der Linden, R. W. H. and Łasak, P. (2023). China's Deepening Integration into Global Financial Markets: Lessons Learned from Its US Counterpart. *Financial Interdependence, Digitalization and Technological Rivalries*, 23–40. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-27845-7_3
19. Xu, C. (2011). The fundamental institutions of China's reforms and development. *Journal ofEconomic Literature*, *49*(4), 1076–1151. https://doi.org/10.1257/jel.49.4.1076
20. Zhang, L. (2023). Capital Account Liberalization and China's Financial Integration. *M-RCBG Associate Working Paper Series*, No. 196, Harvard University.
21. Zhang, Z. and Zhang, X. (2024). The Impact of China's Capital Account Liberalization on the Direction of Cross-border Capital Flows. *Modern Economy and Management*, 3(2), 9. https://doi.org/10.53964/mem.2024009