

Restorative Justice in Childhood Sexual Abuse Cases: A Legal Perspective

Arshi

Research Scholar (Law)

Email-yarshiyarshi608@gmail.com

Abstract

This paper examines the application of restorative justice (RJ) in CSA cases and how it can complement traditional justice mechanisms. By focusing on healing and fostering dialogue, RJ aims to provide a holistic response to CSA while addressing the ethical and practical challenges of such sensitive cases. It draws on international practices and the Indian legal framework. Childhood sexual abuse (CSA) is a pervasive issue with profound emotional, psychological, and social consequences for survivors. Traditional legal systems often prioritize punitive measures, neglecting the victim's needs for healing, acknowledgment, and empowerment. Restorative justice (RJ) offers an alternative approach that prioritizes survivor-centered processes, offender accountability, and community engagement. This paper explores the application of RJ in CSA cases and how it can complement traditional justice mechanisms.

Keywords: Restorative Justice, Survivor-Centered Justice, Offender, Victim Compensation, Legal Frameworks.

I. INTRODUCTION

One of the most heinous forms of human rights abuse, sexual abuse in childhood (CSA) leaves victims with lifelong mental, emotional, and social damage. The victim's desires for healing, recognition, and empowerment are frequently unfulfilled by traditional judicial systems because their primary focus is on punishing the offender. Additionally, survivors may re-experience trauma as a result of the adversarial character of criminal trials, which thus limits chances for true restitution or healing. Here, restorative justice steps up as a new paradigm that prioritizes victims and takes a more comprehensive approach to CSA harm reduction.

The three main tenets of restorative justice are victim advocacy, meaningful offender accountability, and community involvement in healing and settlement. Restorative justice is an alternative to retributive justice that prioritizes reconciliation rather than punishment. This method offers a secure environment where victims of CSA can talk about what happened, face the perpetrator if they choose to, and get support and acknowledgement. Offenders are also encouraged to take stock of their behavior, learn from their mistakes, and aid in the healing process.¹

¹ Sutanto, Sutanto. (2024). Restorative Justice Against Child Abuse. Legalpreneur Journal. 2. 206-212. 10.46576/lpj.v2i2.4401.

Recognizing the potential of restorative justice approaches to supplement traditional justice procedures, legal systems around the world are starting to investigate their implementation within CSA case management. But there are serious ethical, legal, and practical questions about using restorative justice in these delicate circumstances. Some of these include resolving victim-offender power dynamics, protecting victims' rights, and obtaining informed consent. Having a deep comprehension of how restorative justice ideas interact with legal frameworks is crucial for overcoming these obstacles.

Looking at CSA instances from a legal standpoint, this article explores the function of restorative justice. It takes a close look at the pros and cons of this method, including its ability to help people heal and reduce recidivism. This conversation aims to illuminate how the justice system might include restorative principles to enhance the support provided to CSA survivors and society as a whole by examining current legal frameworks, case studies, and restorative practices.²

II. CONCEPT OF RESTORATIVE JUSTICE

Howard Zehr calls it "a process to involve to the extent possible those who have a stake in a specific offence and to collectively identify and address harms, needs and obligations, in order to heal and put things as right as possible." It shifts the emphasis from severe punishments to offenders' rehabilitation via mending fences with victims and the society. Through victim empowerment, mediation, and negotiation, as well as reparation, it stresses the need of repairing the harm done to victims of the crime.

A report by UNICEF titled Justice in Matters involving Child Victims and Witnesses of Crime: model law and accompanying discussion,³ the following is stated in article 30: "if restorative justice measures are considered, [name of competent body] shall inform the child, his or her parents or guardian and the children's lawyer of the available restorative justice programs and how to access such programs. The possibility of seeking restitution and compensation in court if the restorative justice programmers fail to achieve an agreement between the child victim and the offender." According to the Vienna Declaration on Crime and Justice: Meeting the Challenges of the Twenty-First Century (2000), all parties involved—victims, offenders, communities, and the state—must work toward creating restorative justice laws, processes, and programs that honor everyone's rights, needs, and interests. Victims of crime are guaranteed a minimum amount of compensation according to the 1993 European Convention on the Compensation of Victims of Crime.

Once one grasps the idea of restorative justice, the next natural issue is whether it can be applied to cases involving sexual abuse of children. As a result, we'll focus on the Indian Criminal Justice System to see if restorative justice has a good or bad effect on sexual abuse of children, criminals, and society as a whole. All across the globe, criminal justice systems incorporate restorative justice practices. Local and experimental restorative justice programs are common; restorative measures are an integral part of the criminal justice systems in several nations. The concept of restorative justice has been around since the 1970s, with Canada being the pioneer in implementing such policies.

² Zakaria, Chepi & Mahmud, Ade & Mulyana, Aji. (2023). Legal Protection for Child Victims of Sexual Assault in a Restorative Justice Perspective. Jurnal Penelitian Hukum De Jure. 23. 59. 10.30641/dejure.2023.V23.59-70.

³ United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime, Vienna, Justice in Matters involving Child Victims and Witnesses of Crime: model law and related commentary, 2009

Restorative justice procedures were only mandated by the Criminal Procedure Code in India until the POCSO Act of 2012 was passed, therefore the idea has not yet been fully integrated into the country's legal system. As of 2008, victim compensation is governed by S. 357A, which was inserted into the Criminal Procedure Code. In addition, victim compensation was outlined in POCSO, Act 2012 under u/s 33 (8), as read with Rule 7(3) of POCSO Rules, 2012.

There is a degree of discretion in this provision. Research into restorative justice is necessary to see if it can complement or replace the current criminal justice system in India, as well as to determine how best to strike a fair balance between the rights of victims and those of criminals. Additionally, the premise that for every lawful offense, there is a lawful punishment forms the basis of India's criminal justice system. The adversarial system is the basis of this, in which the parties present their case to the court or judge in an effort to resolve it; the outcome is either acquittal or conviction. Those found guilty would face a compensation method of resolving criminal cases under India's restorative justice system, which will weaken the institution's fundamental aim. From a social and cultural perspective, the thinking of the people cannot be changed by changing the law, thus it will also have economic, social, and cultural effects. Whether they are victims or perpetrators, every person is burdened with societal shame. Many will choose to resolve matters through reparations because it is economically convenient, but this will violate the rights of the victims, have an impact on the legal system as a whole, and potentially encourage more criminal activity.⁴

The creation of a compensation plan in each state, in conjunction with the federal government, is required by Section 357A of the Criminal Procedure Code. India has been slow to fully embrace the restorative justice model popular in the West. The Indian legal system has only incorporated victim compensation as a provision. There is no set maximum or minimum amount that can be granted to a minor under the Delhi Victims Compensation Scheme 2015, for instance. In most cases, the courts will set the sum of compensation at between two and three lakhs rupees. According to the Delhi Scheme, you are required to deposit 80% of this amount, and you will not be able to retrieve it until you reach the age of majority. The judge still has complete authority over whether or not to compensate victims, even under POCSO. In a country plagued by poverty and where children are frequently victims of sexual predators, a pitiful amount of Rs. 60,000 might not be sufficient to encompass the expenses of emotional, physical, and mental harm sustained until reaching adulthood. Consequently, restorative justice in India is flawed, and the concept loses some of its original intent when it solely incorporates victim compensation. Finding out how well victims and their families benefit from this restorative justice process is the primary concern.

III. PROBLEMS RELATED WITH CHILDHOOD SEXUAL ABUSE

The effects of sexual abuse on survivors' mental, physical, emotional, and social health are far-reaching and can endure a lifetime. This is a pressing matter that demands thorough investigation and action because it affects not just individuals but also their families, communities, and the very fabric of society.

⁴ Emaliawati, & Priyatno, Dwidja & Ravena, Dey & Firman, Chepi & Mulyana, Aji. (2021). Concept of restorative justice towards light criminal acts perspective of criminal objectives. 7. 107-112.

Emotional and Psychological Consequences

Serious mental and emotional suffering is a common outcome of CSA. Because of the cover-ups and manipulation that are common in this type of abuse, survivors may struggle with low self-esteem, persistent feelings of shame, and guilt. Suicidal thoughts, anxiety, despair, and post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD) are frequent among survivors, and they might appear years or even decades after the abuse occurred. A child's emotional development might be hindered and attachment problems can develop if their sense of safety and trust is disrupted.⁵

Physical Health Implications

Physical health problems are another manifestation of the effects of CSA, which are not limited to the mental sphere. There may be no obvious medical causes for survivors' somatic concerns, gastrointestinal issues, or chronic pain. Survivors of abuse may be more prone to sickness because the stress they endured weakened their immune systems. In addition, problems with physical health might be worsened by unhealthy coping techniques like substance misuse or food disorders.

Impact on Relationships and Social Functioning

CSA frequently interferes with survivors' capacity to establish positive relationships. Both personal and professional relationships can be affected by trust concerns, intimacy fears, and boundary issues. Feelings of shame or fear of criticism might cause survivors to withdraw socially and isolate themselves, increasing their risk of loneliness. The impacts can have a domino effect, putting a strain on family relationships and communal solidarity.

Educational and Career Outcomes

When a person suffers with CSA, it can take a mental and emotional toll that shows up in their work and school lives. Survivors may face difficulties focusing, remembering, and staying motivated, which can impact their academic performance and ultimately their level of schooling. Persistent trauma symptoms in the workplace can lead to decreased productivity, increased absenteeism, and trouble securing and keeping a job.

Intergenerational and Societal Impact

Even into the future, CSA can have an effect. Without proper assistance, survivors may unwittingly keep repeating the cycle of abuse or neglect; nevertheless, many survivors are able to break this loop with the use of therapy and programs that promote resilience. Medical expenses, court cases, and missed work time are all monetary expenditures that CSA adds to society as a whole.

Need for Comprehensive Support

Survivors of CSA need social, emotional, and physical support in addition to trauma-informed treatment due to the complex nature of the effects of the trauma. Healers and survivors may greatly benefit from therapeutic therapies including counseling, support groups, and restorative justice initiatives. To lessen

⁵ Tummalapalli, Hemanth & Yedama, Naga & Rao, A.. (2024). Child Sexual Abuse in India: Understanding the Problem, Responding to the Challenges, and Charting a Way Forward. 10.1007/978-981-99-8745-0_5.

the impact and frequency of CSA, it is crucial to educate the public and put preventative measures in place.

Recognizing the extensive and deep-seated effects of CSA highlights the critical need to tackle this problem head-on with compassion, all-encompassing assistance, and measures to avoid it. A future where survivors are helped to heal and thrive can be achieved if society recognizes and addresses these repercussions.⁶

IV. APPLICATION OF RESTORATIVE JUSTICE IN CSA CASES

Through its emphasis on healing, accountability, and community involvement, restorative justice (RJ) offers a revolutionary method of repairing the damage caused by sexual abuse in childhood (CSA). By putting survivors' needs first and working to find meaningful solutions, RJ aims to differ from the adversarial character of conventional criminal justice systems, which often re-traumatize and isolate them.

Survivor-Centered Approaches

The first step in applying RJ to CSA instances is to put the survivor's safety and independence first. To make sure the victim is secure and ready to participate, restorative practices are optional. Facilitated dialogues are a common component of these processes, allowing survivors a safe space to talk about what happened, how it affected them, and what they need from the perpetrators or community leaders. Through the establishment of a secure and nurturing atmosphere, RJ offers survivors the ability to take charge of their own healing and the legal system.⁷

Accountability and Behavioral Change for Offenders

Beyond punitive measures, RJ stresses offender accountability. Offenders are urged to recognize the damage they have wrought, comprehend its effects on the victim and society at large, and resolve to alter their conduct. Committing to reparation, writing an apology, or enrolling in a rehabilitation program to reduce the likelihood of recidivism are common components of this procedure. Offenders who show true sorrow and are willing to work through their issues can find personal growth through RJ.

Role of Facilitators and Professionals

The RJ process relies heavily on trained facilitators to maintain sensitive and ethical interactions. Their role is to ensure that everyone involved is ready to participate, to facilitate conversations, and to keep the emphasis on restorative concepts. To help survivors with both their legal and psychological needs, legal experts, counselors, and social workers may work together, bridging the gap between RJ and more conventional justice systems.

⁶ Triyanto, Annisa. (2024). CHILDHOOD SEXUAL ABUSE IMPACT ON ADULTHOOD. *Journal of Psychiatry Psychology and Behavioral Research*. 5. 20-22. 10.21776/ub.jppbr.2024.005.02.5.

⁷ Pal, Garima. (2022). Exploring the Potentials of Restorative Justice Mechanisms (SOTP AND COSA) in Treating Child Sexual Offenders in India. *Journal of Victimology and Victim Justice*. 5. 251660692211192. 10.1177/25166069221119263.

Benefits of RJ in CSA Cases

The restorative method provides a way for survivors to recover from trauma by recognizing the complicated and individually impactful nature of CSA. Restorative justice fosters healing and restoration by attending to the psychological and interpersonal aspects of trauma. Furthermore, it promotes a feeling of communal duty, which in turn encourages people to see CSA as a social problem rather than a singular offense.

Limitations and Safeguards

Although RJ shows great potential, it must be applied with caution in CSA situations due to the inherent dangers. There is a risk of power imbalances or coercion, and survivors may experience emotional pain, when confronting perpetrators. Survivors should take the lead in RJ processes to reduce these dangers by making sure everyone is emotionally prepared, gives their informed consent, and has someone to lean on when things are tough.

An approach to justice that places an emphasis on healing, responsibility, and repair, restorative justice signifies a sea change in how CSA cases are handled. As a comprehensive reaction to the severe damage caused by CSA, RJ defies conventional justice paradigms by making survivors the focal point and incorporating communities into the process.⁸

V. CONCLUSION

Restorative justice has unique obstacles when applied to CSA situations. The necessity for cautious execution is highlighted by worries regarding power disparities, re-traumatization, and the ethical challenges associated with supporting such procedures. To guarantee that restorative justice respects the worth and safety of everyone involved, it is crucial to have strong protections, protocols that are centered on survivors, and interdisciplinary cooperation. The lack of widespread implementation of restorative justice practices in India, particularly in victim compensation programs, calls attention to the necessity for a more sophisticated strategy. Along with public awareness campaigns, capacity building for facilitators and legal professionals, and amendments to the law, restorative methods should be integrated. Incorporating restorative justice practices into India's larger criminal justice system will help the country strike a better balance between victim rights, justice, and rehabilitation.

Finally, restorative justice is a new way of looking at CSA that can help with healing and bringing people together as a community. The justice system can do a better job of helping victims, punishing those responsible, and responding to one of the worst types of abuse if it adopts this stance. Despite the challenges, the path toward restorative justice is essential and compelling because of the change it has the ability to bring about in people's lives and in the legal system as a whole.

⁸ Wibisono, Danny & Taufiqurokhman, Taufiqurokhman. (2024). Application of Restorative Justice in Statutory Practice.

REFERENCES: -

1. Edrisy, Ibrahim Fikma, and Kamilatun. "Restorative Justice in the Implementation of Diversion Against Victims of Child Crimes." *Legal Brief* 11, no. 5 (2022): 3259–67. <https://doi.org/10.35335/legal>.
2. Eleanora, Fransiska Novita, Zulkifli Ismail, Ahmad, and Melanie Pita Lestari. *Buku Ajar Hukum Perlindungan Anak Dan Perempuan*. Malang: Madza Media, 2021.
3. Emaliawati, Dwidja Priyatno, Dey Ravena, Chepi Ali Firman, and Aji Mulyana. "Concept of Restorative Justice Towards Light Criminal Acts Perspective of Criminal Objectives." *International Journal of Law* 7, no. 3 (2021): 107–12.
4. Ernis, Yul. "Diversi Dan Keadilan Restoratif Dalam Penyelesaian Perkara Tindak Pidana Anak Di Indonesia." *Jurnal Ilmiah Kebijakan Hukum* 10, no. 2 (2016): 163–74.
5. Garner, Bryan A. *Black's Law Dictionary*. West Group, 2006.
6. HandWiki. "Child Sexual Abuse." Switzerland: Scholarly Community Encyclopedia, 2022. <https://encyclopedia.pub/entry/34460>.
7. Herman, Judith Lewis. *Trauma and Recovery: The Aftermath of Violence-From Domestic Abuse to Political Terror*. New York: Hachette Book Group, 1997.
8. Hillis, Susan, James Mercy, Adaugo Amobi, and Howard Kress. "Global Prevalence of Past-Year Violence Against Children: A Systematic Review and Minimum Estimates." *Pediatrics* 137, no. 3 (2016): 1–13. <https://doi.org/10.1542/peds.2015-4079>.
9. Irhammudin, and Ibrahim Fikma Edrisy. "Restorative Justice in the Implementation of Diversion Against Child Criminal Victims." *Nurani: Jurnal Kajian Syari'ah Dan Masyarakat* 22, no. 2 (2022): 223–38. <https://doi.org/10.19109/nurani.v>.
10. Jamadi, M. "Identifying Child Protection Standards in the International Judicial Procedure." *Lex Humana* 10, no. 1 (2018): 99–122.
11. Kordi, Ghufro. *Durhaka Kepada Anak*. Yogyakarta: Pustaka Baru Press, 2015.
12. Lestari, Ardiyaningsih Puji, Nys. Arfa, and Hj. Andi Najemi. "Perlindungan Hukum Terhadap Anak Korban Perkosaan Di Wilayah Hukum Pengadilan Negeri Jambi." *Jurnal Ilmu Hukum* 7, no. 1 (2016): 85–105.
13. Machmud, Temmangnganro. "Perlindungan Hukum Terhadap Anak Korban Kekerasan Seksual Dalam Sistem Peradilan Pidana Terpadu Di Wilayah Kota Pontianak." *Jurnal NESTOR Magister Hukum* 8, no. 2 (2012): 1–19.
14. Mansari. "Pelaksanaan Diversi Terhadap Abh Berdasarkan Qanun Aceh Nomor 11 Tahun 2008 Tentang Perlindungan Anak." *Gender Equality: International Journal of Child and Gender Studies* 2, no. 1 (2016): 51–62.
15. Marlina. *Peradilan Pidana Anak Di Indonesia Pengembangan Konsep Diversi*. Bandung: Refika Aditama, 2009.
16. Marshall, Tony. *Restorative Justice: An Overview*. London: Home Office Research Development and Statistics Directorate, 1999.
17. Mubarak, Nafi. "Pemenuhan Hak Anak Dalam Hukum Nasional Indonesia." *Al-Qānūn: Jurnal Pemikiran Dan Pembaharuan Hukum Islam* 25, no. 1 (2022): 31–44.