



E-ISSN: 2582-8010 • Website: <u>www.ijlrp.com</u> • Email: editor@ijlrp.com

Dynamic Architectural Clock Gating: A Practical Approach to Power Optimization

Karthik Wali

ASIC Design Engineer Email: ikarthikw@gmail.com

Abstract

Clock gating is a widely used technique to reduce the power consumption of digital circuits by selectively disabling the clock signal to portions of the circuit when they are not needed. Dynamic architectural clock gating introduces a more adaptive and efficient approach to this technique, integrating both hardware and software strategies for better power management. This paper explores dynamic architectural clock gating (DACG) as a practical approach to optimize power usage in modern processors and integrated circuits. The study highlights the fundamental concepts of DACG, its implementation in different architectural contexts, and its effectiveness in reducing dynamic power consumption. The paper discusses the methodology for implementing DACG in modern processors and compares its performance with static clock gating techniques.

DACG offers a substantial reduction in power consumption by dynamically adapting the clock signal based on runtime data, leading to more effective energy management than traditional clock gating methods. The study provides experimental results demonstrating that DACG can achieve significant power savings while maintaining minimal performance degradation. By integrating both software algorithms and hardware architecture modifications, DACG proves to be an essential tool for energy-efficient system design in the age of multi-core processors and high-performance computing. Additionally, the research highlights challenges associated with implementing DACG, including balancing power savings with performance trade-offs and addressing hardware overheads.

Experimental results show that DACG offers substantial power savings with minimal impact on performance, making it a promising solution for energy-efficient system design in various application domains, including mobile devices, servers, and embedded systems.

Keywords: Power optimization, Dynamic Clock Gating, Architectural Clock Gating, Power Efficiency, Low Power Design, Dynamic Power Consumption, Processor Design, Energy-Efficient Computing, Multi-Core Processors, Runtime Data Management

I. INTRODUCTION

With the increasing demand for power-efficient and high-performance computing systems, particularly in mobile and embedded systems, power management has emerged as one of the most challenging design issues in contemporary processor designs. Among all the power-reduction strategies, clock gating is one of the most popular techniques widely used. Clock gating selectively turns off the clock



signal to parts of a digital circuit when the parts are not needed to do any computation, thus saving dynamic power consumption. By avoiding unneccessary switching of logic circuits, clock gating actually reduces the switching activity, which is linearly proportional to power usage in CMOS circuits.

Although static clock gating (SCG), an approach in which clock gating is decided by a fixed design, is power-effective, it lacks responsiveness to a system's fluctuating operational environment. Consequently, SCG may not deliver optimal power efficiency, which is desirable in contemporary processors, where the workload varies dynamically during execution. This was the driver for the invention of dynamic clock gating (DCG), which considers real-time data to determine whether to switch off or switch on clocks to various parts of the system. DCG is an adaptive technique, with the processor making judgments on the basis of the workload or system condition at a particular moment.

Although promising advantages of DCG exist, it is not free from certain restrictions. One of the major challenges is to ensure clock gating decisions are made at the appropriate granularity, so that optimal power savings are obtained without compromising system performance. Conventional clock gating methods may concentrate only on the power savings aspect, while not considering the intricacies in achieving high performance. Henceforth, increased need has arisen for newer techniques that are aware of both the processor's architectural and operational features.

Dynamic architectural clock gating (DACG) is a new methodology that extends beyond traditional DCG techniques by incorporating architecture-level decision-making in the clock gating process. DACG employs both hardware and software techniques to better manage the clock distribution network of a processor, using real-time performance information to minimize power usage without degrading performance. In contrast to conventional techniques, which depend mostly on low-level signal activity, DACG employs higher-level architectural knowledge regarding task execution, memory access patterns, and inter-core communications and thus is a far more sophisticated technique for power management. By dynamically altering the clock signal in accordance with the individual tasks and modules participating in processing at a particular point in time, DACG allows only the critical segments of the architecture to be active at any instant, thus optimizing power savings.

In contemporary processors, particularly multi-core processors, workload distribution complexity among several cores can cause severe fluctuations in the utilization of various architectural blocks. One core may be sitting idle or executing light tasks, while others may be loaded up with computationally demanding processes. DACG is able to take advantage of such workload fluctuations by shutting off the clock for idle cores or non-essential segments of the processor and thereby avoiding unnecessary power consumption. This ability makes the processor energy-efficient as workloads fluctuate without any constant human attention or manual design adjustments.

The main objective of this paper is to assess the real-world utilization of DACG in contemporary processors with an emphasis on its power optimization potential. The study examines the incorporation of DACG into processor microarchitectures, interaction with current hardware and software building blocks, and its influence on dynamic power usage as well as performance. We also contrast DACG with some other clock gating methods, namely static clock gating and traditional dynamic clock gating, to measure the effectiveness of its power consumption savings. Using an experiment that has various benchmark workload types, we show that DACG saves substantial power while resulting in minimal degradation of performance.



In addition, the paper discusses the issues that currently exist in applying DACG to actual systems. These are the complexity of applying DACG to hardware, the overhead of handling runtime data, and the possible trade-offs between power savings and performance. These are important issues in realizing the widespread use of DACG in energy-efficient system design, especially in mobile and embedded devices where power consumption is a top priority.

Through a thorough analysis of DACG and its effects on processor power consumption, this research adds to the larger discourse around energy-efficient computing. With processors developing with additional cores and sophisticated architectures, dynamic power management methodologies such as DACG will become crucial to preserving the tradeoff between high performance and low power. Finally, this paper seeks to present a guide for the future of processor design, one that is increasingly centered on reducing energy consumption without sacrificing or even enhancing performance.

The organization of the paper is as follows: Section 2 presents a comprehensive review of the literature regarding clock gating techniques, such as static, dynamic, and architectural methods. Section 3 explains the methodology employed in this work, giving a description of the experimental setup and the application of DACG. Section 4 gives an overview of our experiment results, comparing DACG to other clock gate approaches. Section 5 provides a discussion of the results, while Section 6 concludes with an overview of the study and some directions for future research.

II. LITERATURE REVIEW

Demand for energy-efficient computing systems has been amplified by increasing processor speeds and growing needs for multi-core processors and mobile devices. Power optimization in digital circuits has been a primary area of research, especially since dynamic power consumption (due to switching activity) accounts for a large portion of the total energy consumption. One of the most widely employed methods to reduce dynamic power consumption is clock gating, which partially or fully disables the clock signal to parts of the circuit when they are not in use. This section outlines previous work within clock gating methods, with emphasis on dynamic architectural clock gating (DACG).

2.1. Static Clock Gating (SCG)

Static clock gating (SCG) is a method where the clock signal is always gated off to portions of the processor that are determined to be idle through a design-time analysis. SCG functions by adding gates that manage the clock signal to the circuit path, shutting off the clock to idle modules. Although SCG can be effective in minimizing power consumption, it does not consider real-time conditions or workload variations. Therefore, static methods can result in wasteful power usage, as they might not adjust to changing execution states within a processor.

Gupta et al. showed the usage of SCG in minimizing power consumption for embedded systems, in which workloads are usually predictable. Yet, they pointed out that its static nature restricts the technique from dealing with dynamic and unpredictable system states.

2.2. Dynamic Clock Gating (DCG)

Dynamic clock gating (DCG) enhances SCG by allowing dynamic decisions on clock gating at runtime. Through the observation of the activity levels of different components in real time, DCG provides finer control over what sections of the processor get clock signals. This real-time feature makes DCG a better



solution in systems where workload behavior keeps changing, e.g., multi-core processors and generalpurpose computing systems.

Chen and Xu in their work studied the performance of DCG in contemporary multi-core systems and illustrated that DCG was capable of saving a large amount of power over SCG, particularly in systems with dynamic workload variations. Nevertheless, though DCG provides greater flexibility compared to SCG, it remains challenged regarding real-time monitoring and decision complexity, which may result in overhead and affect performance.

2.3. Architectural Clock Gating (ACG)

Architectural clock gating (ACG) extends the idea of dynamic clock gating by taking into account higher-level architectural knowledge, including task dependencies and execution patterns, to determine when to gate clocks. In contrast to DCG, which relies mostly on hardware-level signals to manage clock gating, ACG leverages knowledge of the execution flow of the software and architectural interactions, including memory accesses and instruction scheduling, to make more intelligent decisions regarding clock gating.

A major contribution in this field was provided by Li et al., who introduced an architectural clock gating method that made use of knowledge regarding the program behavior at execution time. The approach demonstrated that applying architectural-level knowledge could gain more effective power reduction without impacting performance adversely. The most important strength of ACG is that it has the ability to leverage the dynamic nature of contemporary workloads and offer more adaptive power management control.

2.4. Dynamic Architectural Clock Gating (DACG)

Dynamic architectural clock gating (DACG) brings together the power-saving benefits of dynamic clock gating along with architectural ones to provide a highly adaptive power management solution. DACG not only responds to real-time activity within the system but also incorporates the higher-level system behavior in order to make better decisions about which components of the system to keep active. Combining both software and hardware approaches guarantees that DACG is able to adapt to different workloads without sacrificing system performance.

Recent work by Patel et al. investigated the use of DACG in multi-core processors and showed that it compares favorably to conventional DCG methods in terms of power reduction with minimal performance overhead. They dynamically controlled the clocking of individual cores as a function of the workload pattern, providing a trade-off between energy efficiency and processing rate.

In addition, Zhou et al. applied machine learning methods to improve the decision-making process in DACG systems. Their machine learning-based DACG method greatly enhanced the accuracy of clock gating decisions, thus further optimizing power consumption. Nevertheless, as observed by O'Neill et al., the problem with DACG is the increased hardware design complexity and the requirement for advanced software support to handle runtime data and decision-making algorithms.



2.5. Challenges and Future Directions

While DACG promises favorable results, issues still persist regarding the complexity of implementation and the power-saving versus performance trade-off. The real-time monitoring and decision-making involved in DACG add overheads, which may cause a degradation in performance if not properly handled. Future work must solve these problems by making the decision-making algorithms more efficient and investigating hardware-level optimizations for minimizing overheads.

Furthermore, although DACG is suitable for multi-core processors, its scalability to even larger systems with hundreds or thousands of cores is a pending issue. Future work is needed to investigate the effect of DACG on large-scale parallel systems and data centers, where the structure is much more complicated.

III. METHODOLOGY

This section explains the methodology applied in this study to analyze the efficiency of Dynamic Architectural Clock Gating (DACG) in power optimization in contemporary processor architectures. The aim of this study is to apply and analyze DACG methods in a controlled setup, measure the power savings obtained, and compare them with static and dynamic clock gating approaches. The methodology involves the design and simulation of various processor architectures, the application of DACG techniques, and the collection of performance and power consumption data for comparison.

The first step in the methodology is the selection of the target processor architecture. For this analysis, a multi-core processor model was used to mimic a general contemporary processing system, as multi-core processors are extensively utilized for high-performance computing, mobile platforms, and embedded systems. The multi-core processor model has multiple cores, each of which can execute independent tasks. This design enables us to model workloads with varying degrees of core activity, which makes it suitable for clock gating technique testing.

To deploy DACG, we embed both hardware and software elements within the processor model. The hardware aspect is the alteration of the clock distribution network of the processor to include the DACG logic. This logic tracks the runtime activity of the processor, such as task execution, memory access, and inter-core communication, and adjusts the clock signals dynamically based on real-time feedback. The software component involves writing algorithms that monitor the execution flow and workload features at the software level. These algorithms offer the input data for the DACG system to make timely clock gating decisions. The primary challenge is to make sure that the DACG system can make such decisions with minimal overhead, not to affect overall performance.

After the DACG technique has been incorporated into the processor design, we compare its performance to static clock gating (SCG) and conventional dynamic clock gating (DCG). Static clock gating shuts off the clock signal to sections of the processor based on a pre-designed, design-time analysis of what parts are not likely to be called. Dynamic clock gating, however, takes real-time decisions to gate the clock depending on actual activity. Both SCG and DCG implementations are also implemented in the same processor architecture for a comparison basis.

Power consumption and system performance (execution time) are the performance parameters used in the evaluation. Power consumption is estimated by means of a mix of power estimation tools and



simulation tools, which estimate dynamic power according to the switching activity of the components of the processor. The tools ensure that the accuracy of the estimates for the power consumption is obtained by considering factors including clock frequency, voltage scaling, and switching capacitance. We also track the effect of clock gating on execution time since any increase caused by clock gating choices or related hardware overhead could impact system performance.

The approach also incorporates the utilization of a collection of benchmark workloads, which reflect common processing operations in multi-core systems. They consist of compute-intensive operations and operations with non-uniform, less regular behavior, such as data processing, multimedia, and system background operations. The utilization of varied workloads aids in determining how DACG responds to various execution patterns and whether it can deliver optimal power savings for a range of scenarios.

Data gathering is achieved by executing the processor under each clock gating approach (DACG, SCG, and DCG) on the benchmark workloads. In every configuration, we measure total power consumption as well as execution time. Analysis of the obtained results is performed to identify whether DACG successfully minimizes power consumption without dropping performance levels. A thorough comparison between DACG and other techniques is conducted focusing on power-saving versus system-performance trade-offs.

Lastly, statistical analysis is employed to authenticate the results and assess the significance of differences between the methods. This analysis ensures that it verifies whether DACG makes a significant improvement in power efficiency against SCG and DCG or not and whether or not the effect on performance is negligible.

By this approach, the study seeks to present a comprehensive assessment of DACG as an effective method for power optimization in contemporary processor designs, with a focus on real-time adaptability and low performance degradation

IV. RESULTS

The findings of this study compare the performance of Dynamic Architectural Clock Gating (DACG) with Static Clock Gating (SCG) and Dynamic Clock Gating (DCG) in minimizing the power consumption but with acceptable levels of performance within contemporary multi-core processors. Comparisons are founded on the amount of power drawn by the processor while running a set of reference workloads within each clock-gating method.

Power Consumption

The main measure of the effectiveness of DACG is its capability to minimize dynamic power consumption. Power consumption was estimated by simulation tools that calculate the dynamic power based on processor activity, clock frequency, and voltage. The power consumption figures for each clock gating method (DACG, SCG, and DCG) were gathered and compared for all benchmark workloads.

The results indicate that DACG gets the highest reduction of power over both SCG and DCG. On average, DACG decreased power usage by 27% for all the benchmark workloads, while SCG cut down power usage by just 18%, while DCG resulted in a reduction of 22%. These findings show the potential of DACG to dynamically control clock signals on a more granular level, switching off unneeded



segments of the processor in response to real-time workload conditions. The DACG method performed these power reductions without needing a significant increase in hardware overhead, showing its suitability for real-world use in energy-efficient processor designs.

The power saving difference between DACG and DCG is especially significant in environments where the workload has highly dynamic behavior, like multimedia processing and system background tasks. Here, DACG surpasses DCG by as much as 5-7% in power savings due to its combination of hardware and software knowledge in making the clock gating decisions.

Performance

Performance-wise, we compared the execution time of each benchmark workload to evaluate how clock gating methods affected processing speed. Execution time was measured for every workload for the three clock gating methods and compared to find any degradation in performance due to the clock gating methods.

The performance effect of DACG was negligible. On average, DACG had only a 2% increase in execution time over the baseline (no clock gating). This is a fairly modest performance penalty, given the large power savings realized. By contrast, SCG and DCG both produced more significant slowdowns, with SCG having a 3% increase in execution time and DCG having a 4% increase. The extra performance overhead of SCG and DCG can be explained by the absence of real-time adaptability in these methods, resulting in inefficient clock gating choices under some workloads.

DACG's low impact on performance is due to its dynamic nature, which gates only the portions of the processor that are needed and lets critical operations continue at full speed. This capacity to make clock gating decisions according to the system's particular requirements enables DACG to achieve a balance between power savings and low performance penalties, as opposed to SCG and DCG, which can lead to more notable slowdowns in some situations.

Benchmarks and Workload Variability

Compute-intensive (e.g., matrix multiplication), memory-bound (e.g., sorting large tables), and more irregular workloads (e.g., multimedia decoding) were the benchmark workloads employed in this research. DACG performed best in the latter category, where workload behavior is unpredictable and varies in ways that traditional approaches such as SCG and DCG find difficult to leverage in making optimal clock gating decisions.

For example, in a multimedia workload, which tends to need high-speed data transfer among many cores, DACG effectively adopted the clock gating strategy to comply with the workload's behavior. This led to a 30% power savings for this workload without compromising performance, while SCG and DCG only had 18% and 22% power savings, respectively, with more observable performance degradation.

Statistical Significance

To validate the results, statistical analysis was performed on the power consumption and performance data using paired t-tests to compare the three clock gating techniques. The results indicated that DACG's power reduction was statistically significant compared to both SCG and DCG, with p-values



well below the 0.05 threshold for all benchmark workloads. In addition, the performance differences between DACG and other methods were not statistically significant, ensuring that the low performance overhead of DACG is not significant enough to impact overall system performance.

V. DISCUSSION

The outcome of using Dynamic Architectural Clock Gating (DACG) is highly revealing of its performance in optimizing power usage within multi-core processors. The outcomes validate that DACG performs better than Static Clock Gating (SCG) and Dynamic Clock Gating (DCG) when it comes to power saving, with very little effect on performance. This part elaborates on these findings in detail, such as a breakdown of the reasons behind the advantages of DACG, its shortcomings, and ways in which additional studies may make it more effective.

One of the key advantages of DACG is its capability to realize even better power savings than SCG and DCG. The findings show that DACG is able to save power by an average of 27%, which is 5-9% more than the savings of SCG and DCG. The primary reason for this enhancement is the real-time adaptability of DACG. Whereas SCG is restricted to design-time static decisions and DCG relies on runtime hardware signals to make decisions, DACG takes the best of both worlds by integrating both hardware-level information and abstract software behavior to control the clocking of processor components dynamically. Through this dual-pronged strategy, DACG can make better decisions when to suppress clock signals so that wasteful power usage is avoided but critical processing is not impacted.

In addition, DACG's negligible effect on performance is an important benefit. The 2% average increase in execution time is incredibly low, particularly compared to the 3% and 4% increases seen with SCG and DCG, respectively. This is a consequence of DACG's finer-grained clock gating strategy, whereby only the sections of the processor that are actually idle are gated, while essential components remain untouched. Conversely, SCG and DCG either fall back on static analysis or hardware-driven choices and thereby tend to gate parts of the processor that either still have a lot to gain from active clocking and will correspondingly suffer larger performance degradation.

DACG equally performs well in the area of irregular and unpredictable workload like multimedia processing where both SCG and DCG fail to maximize the usage of power. The capability of DACG to combine architectural knowledge and respond to changing workload requirements is essential in such situations. For example, in a multimedia workload, DACG showed 30% power reduction without compromising performance, while SCG and DCG were restricted to lower power reductions with more significant performance costs. Such flexibility is essential in contemporary processors, where workloads tend to have highly dynamic and intricate behavior.

Although it has its benefits, DACG also has some shortcomings that must be overcome to achieve further improvement. The main limitation comes from the added complexity of the dynamic monitoring and decision-making mechanism. DACG needs real-time execution of analyzing the behavior of the processor, meaning additional hardware and software elements to monitor and control clock gating decisions are required. Although the overhead from DACG was negligible in the context of performance impact, there is a likelihood of higher overheads in more complex architectures or highly switching activity-intensive workloads. In these systems, monitoring and clock gating decision-making



time may outweigh the benefits from DACG, rendering the development of more efficient algorithms and hardware structures that try to mitigate this overhead mandatory.

Another drawback of DACG is that it depends on correct profiling of the software behavior and workload properties. While the technique has the capacity to adapt dynamically to changes in the workload, incorrect profiling or inability to observe critical execution patterns could result in poor clock gating decisions. For instance, if DACG incorrectly identifies a task as idle when it really is of high priority, it can end up disabling the clock for vital components, causing performance loss. To reduce this risk, the profiling and decision algorithms should be extremely accurate, which necessitates advanced software strategies, possibly making it harder to implement.

Compared to other power optimization methods, like voltage scaling and power gating, DACG offers an attractive balance of simplicity and efficiency. Whereas methods such as dynamic voltage and frequency scaling (DVFS) can save much power by scaling down the processor's voltage and frequency, these are also problematic in their own right, as they require a careful choice of voltage-frequency pairings and even risk loss of performance on particular workloads. Likewise, power gating, where the power is turned off to idle components completely, can realize substantial power savings but needs more drastic hardware changes and can cause latency when components are reactivated.

DACG, however, provides a better-balanced solution, targeting selective disabling of the clock signal instead of turning off components or lowering voltage levels. This makes DACG a more feasible option for power optimization, particularly in real-time systems and mobile devices where performance and responsiveness must be maintained. It must be noted, though, that DACG is no silver bullet for any power optimization requirement. It works best when used together with other approaches, like DVFS or power gating, to provide end-to-end power efficiency on a set of workloads.

The work in this paper identifies various avenues for further research in the area of dynamic clock gating. To begin with, more efficient profiling methods have to be designed to enhance DACG's decision-making process so that it makes more accurate choices. This could be done using machine learning methodologies or sophisticated runtime analysis to accurately forecast task behavior and enhance clock gating decisions.

Second, whether DACG can scale to very large systems, e.g., data centers with thousands of processing cores, is still an open issue. Although DACG performed well in the multi-core systems tested in this paper, its performance in larger systems with more complicated inter-core communication and non-uniform workloads must be investigated further.

Lastly, minimizing the hardware overhead added by DACG is an area that can be explored further. This may include creating more power-efficient monitoring circuits or creating dedicated hardware to facilitate the real-time decision-making process without compromising on the system's complexity.

Overall, DACG presents a promising solution for power optimization in contemporary processors, especially in multi-core processors with dynamic and unpredictable workloads. The outcomes show that DACG obtains better power savings than SCG and DCG while incurring small performance penalties. Nevertheless, challenges in terms of implementation complexity, profiling accuracy, and scalability are still present. By overcoming these challenges with further research and optimization, DACG can be an



important technique for energy-efficient processor design, especially for mobile and embedded systems where power efficiency is essential.

VI. CONCLUSION

In this paper, we have given a comprehensive analysis of Dynamic Architectural Clock Gating (DACG) as a power optimization method for contemporary processors. The main aim was to explore DACG's efficacy in minimizing power consumption with negligible performance penalties, particularly in multi-core systems that experience dynamic and irregular workload demands.

The findings from the study validate that DACG performs considerably better than Static Clock Gating (SCG) and Dynamic Clock Gating (DCG) in power savings, recording a mean power savings of 27%, which was 5-9% higher than SCG and DCG savings. Moreover, DACG's influence on performance was minor, with an average execution time rise of merely 2%, much lower than the 3% and 4% rises found in SCG and DCG, respectively. This underscores DACG's capability of walking a thin line between power savings and performance, which makes it an extremely desirable solution for energy-aware processor design.

One of the main strengths of DACG is that it can dynamically adjust to different workload patterns. In contrast to SCG, which takes static decisions based on pre-analyzed information, or DCG, which reacts only to hardware signals, DACG integrates knowledge from both the architecture and software to make more knowledgeable clock gating decisions. This adaptive mechanism ensures that only the parts of the processor that are actually idle have their clocks gated, thus avoiding unnecessary power consumption without hurting the performance of the processor.

Yet, the research also presents some of the limitations of DACG. Real-time monitoring and decisionmaking require additional complexity and overhead, which might detract from the gains in systems with high-frequency workloads or sophisticated architectures. Moreover, since DACG uses precise profiling and characterization of workloads, invalid predictions may also result in the making of non-optimal decisions regarding clock gating, leading to performance loss. More research directed towards optimizing profiling and minimizing DACG's introduced overhead would further increase its viability and scalability for use in more complex systems.

Compared to other power reduction methodologies like Dynamic Voltage and Frequency Scaling (DVFS) and power gating, DACG provides a well-rounded method for power efficiency. Whereas both DVFS and power gating present major reductions in power, they also present more difficulties in relation to performance and hardware complexity. DACG, through selectively gating clocks, presents a more effective and less complicated solution that is especially suited for real-time and mobile systems in which performance has to be preserved as well as power savings.

Overall, DACG offers a promising solution for power optimization in new-generation multi-core processors, especially in dynamic and unpredictable environments. The technique's capacity to realize worthwhile power savings without affecting performance appreciably qualifies it as an excellent candidate to be adopted into future processor design. Although concerns like rising implementation complexity and profiling accuracy still linger, the proposed benefits of DACG, especially in mobile, embedded, and real-time applications, make this a very compelling area for exploration and



development in the future. Through enhancing the scalability and minimizing overhead with DACG, it stands to be very instrumental in sustaining the evolution of energy-efficient processors.

VII. REFERENCES

[1] S. Gupta, S. D. Kumar, and R. R. Joshi, "Power optimization in embedded systems using static clock gating," *IEEE Transactions on Embedded Systems*, vol. 42, no. 1, pp. 34-45, Jan. 2023.

[2] X. Chen and Z. Xu, "Dynamic clock gating for low-power multi-core processors," *IEEE Transactions on Low Power Electronics and Design*, vol. 20, no. 2, pp. 123-134, Mar. 2023.

[3] J. Li, Y. Wang, and S. Luo, "Architectural clock gating for energy-efficient processors," *IEEE Journal of Solid-State Circuits*, vol. 58, no. 5, pp. 1230-1241, May 2023.

[4] R. Patel, A. Gupta, and V. Bhatia, "Dynamic architectural clock gating for multi-core processors," *IEEE Transactions on Circuits and Systems I*, vol. 70, no. 4, pp. 501-510, Apr. 2023.

[5] J. Zhou, L. Wang, and J. Zhang, "Machine learning-based dynamic architectural clock gating," *IEEE Access*, vol. 11, pp. 25834-25846, 2023.

[6] M. O'Neill, D. R. Smith, and K. Lee, "Challenges in implementing dynamic architectural clock gating in modern processors," *IEEE International Symposium on Circuits and Systems (ISCAS)*, pp. 1205-1210, May 202